

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

April 26-27, 2006

Fresno, California

Wednesday, April 26, 2006

2:00 p.m.

Commission Meeting

**Fresno City Hall
City Council Chambers
2600 Fresno Street, 2nd Floor
Fresno, California**

6:30 p.m.

Commissioners' Dinner

**2054 West Alluvial
Fresno, California**

Thursday, April 27, 2006

8:30 a.m.

Commission Meeting

**Fresno City Hall
City Council Chambers
2600 Fresno Street, 2nd Floor
Fresno, California**

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website:
www.catc.ca.gov

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Mary Lea Filbert at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):
CTC Commission Meeting – June 7-8, Sacramento

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

FREQUENTLY USED TERMS: California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

GENERAL BUSINESS

- | | | | |
|---|-----|---|---|
| | 1. | | |
| • Roll Call | 1.1 | I | C |
| • Approval of Minutes for March 15-16, 2006 Meeting | 1.2 | A | C |
| • Commission Reports | 1.3 | A | C |
| • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation | 1.4 | A | C |

BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION & HOUSING AGENCY REPORT

- | | | | |
|--|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary | 1.5 | I | B |
|--|-----|---|---|

CALTRANS REPORT

- | | | | |
|---|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director
-- Federal Reauthorization Bill – SAFETEA-LU | 1.6 | I | D |
|---|-----|---|---|

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

- | | | | |
|--|-----|---|---|
| • Report by Fresno COG and Transportation Authority on Self Help Status (Current Measure C and Proposed Extension) | 1.7 | I | R |
| • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator | 1.8 | I | R |
| • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair | 1.9 | I | R |

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u>	2.		
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action</u>	2.1a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-085 The City of Ripon (City) is requesting this amendment. The City proposes to reprogram \$1,925,000 of FY 2005-06 RIP Construction from the South Frontage Road and Wilma Overcrossing project (PPNO 3K48) to FY 2005-06 Construction on the Main Street and Stockton Avenue Rehabilitation and Widening project (PPNO 3K47). This amendment also proposes to increase the project scope for the Main Street and Stockton Avenue Rehabilitation project. San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) concurs with this request. 	2.1a.		A D
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 06S-001 The City of Truckee, with concurrence of the Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC), is requesting this amendment. The City of Truckee and NCTC propose to change the implementing agency for the Project Approval and Environmental (PA&ED) component on the Route 89/Union Pacific Railroad Grade Separation Widening project (PPNO 3L43) from the City of Truckee to the Department. 	2.1b.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 06S-002 The Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) and the City of Rocklin are requesting this amendment. The PCTPA and the City of Rocklin requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. The City of Rocklin proposes to use local funds to replace a total of \$18,432,000 in RIP and IIP funds programmed in FY 2007-08 for Construction on the Route 80 Sierra College Interchange project (PPNO 0151D), with later reimbursement programmed in FY 2008-09. 	2.1b.(2)		I D
	<u>Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) Application Approvals/Amendments</u>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to expand the project scope for Project #4 – Route 680; add northbound and southbound HOV lanes over Sunol Grade, Milpitas to Route 84 in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties. This amendment will also redistribute a net total of \$1,000,000 from PS&E and Construction to R/W, and update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(2) and 2.6e.(4).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-18, Amending Resolution TA-01-09 	2.1c.(1)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Capitol Corridors Joint Powers Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to transfer \$33,000 in TCRP funding from Project #9.2 – Capitol Corridor; Emeryville Station track and platform improvement, to Project #9.4 – Capitol Corridor; Oakland to San Jose intercity track improvements for Construction. This amendment will also update the project funding plan for each project. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(3).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-19, Amending Resolution TAA-05-38 	2.1c.(2)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program a net total of \$15,400,000 in new TCRP funding for Project #18 – Route 101; widen eight miles of freeway to six lanes from Novato to Petaluma. This amendment will also update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-20, Amending Resolution TA-01-09 	2.1c.(3)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$56,900,000 in new TCRP funding for Construction for Project #40 – Route 10; add HOV lanes on San Bernardino Freeway over Kellogg Hill, Route 605 to Route 57 in Los Angeles County. This amendment will also redistribute a net total of \$4,951,000 from PS&E and R/W to Construction and update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(2) and 2.6e.(3).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-21, Amending Resolution TAA-04-11 	2.1c.(4)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department and the Riverside County Transportation Commission are requesting a TCRP Application Amendment to transfer \$12,000,000 in TCRP funding from Project #62 – Route 91; add HOV lanes – Adams Street to University Avenue, to Project #62.1 – Route 91; add HOV lanes – University Avenue to Route 60/91/215 Interchange for Construction. This amendment will also program \$4,300,000 in new TCRP funding for Project #62.1 for Construction and update the project schedule and funding plan for each project. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-22, Amending Resolutions TAA-05-01 and TAA-05-25 	2.1c.(5)		A D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to transfer \$23,100,000 in TCRP funding from Project #83.1 – Route 15; Managed Lanes - Transit Elements, to Project #83.2 – Route 15; Managed Lanes - Freeway elements for Construction. This amendment will also redistribute \$3,663,000 from R/W to Construction, and update the project schedule and funding plan for each project. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-23, Amending Resolutions TAA-05-34 and TAA-04-11 	2.1c.(6)		A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Status*</u>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$20,000,000 from Construction to R/W, for Project #84 – Route 52; build four miles of new six-lane freeway to Santee, Mission George to Route 67 in San Diego County. This amendment will also revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-24, Amending Resolution TA-01-07 	2.1c.(7)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #86 - Route 905; build new six-lane freeway on Otay Mesa, Route 805 to Mexico Port of Entry in San Diego County. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(1).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-25, Amending Resolution TA-01-09 	2.1c.(8)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City of Fresno requests a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope for Project #98 – Peach Avenue; widen to four-lane arterial and add pedestrian over crossings for three schools in Fresno County. This amendment will also redistribute a net total of \$150,000 from Construction to PS&E (\$50,000) and to R/W (\$100,000), and update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-26, Amending Resolution TA-01-07 	2.1c.(9)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The County of Shasta requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program a net total of \$2,767,000 in new TCRP funds for R/W and Construction for Project #128 – Airport Road; reconstruction and intersection improvement project. This amendment will also redistribute \$36,000 from PS&E to R/W, revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan. <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-27, Amending Resolution TA-01-07 	2.1c.(10)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The North Coast Railroad Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$239,000 from PS&E and \$51,000 from Construction to PA&ED, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project # 32.4 – North Coast Railroad; upgrade rail line to class II or III standards. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-15, Amending Resolution TAA-02-03 	2.1c.(11)	A	D/C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The North Coast Railroad Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$2,954,000 in new TCRP funds for PS&E and Construction, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #32.5 – North Coast Railroad; environmental remediation projects. <i>(Related Items under 2.6e.(2).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-16, Amending Resolution TAA-02-03 	2.1c.(12)	A	D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment to de-program \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding and revise the project funding plan for Project #32.8 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan obligations (Q-fund). (Related Item under 2.6e.(5).) Resolution TAA-06-17, Amending Resolution TA-00-01 	2.1c.(13)		A C
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.1d.		
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Program Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.1e.		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters</u></p>	2.2		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR)</u></p>	2.2a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 101 in Monterey County – Construct interchange near San Juan Bautista (NOP). 	2.2a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 180 in Fresno County – Route adoption near Fresno (NOP). 	2.2a.(2)		A D
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIR)</u></p>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 5 in Sacramento County – Construct interchange and extend Cosumnes River Boulevard in Sacramento (DEIR). 	2.2b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 18 in San Bernardino County – Replace bridge near Big Bear Lake (DEIR). 	2.2b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 5 in San Joaquin County – Construct interchange and extend Sperry Road near Stockton (DEIR). 	2.2b.(3)		A D
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></p>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 175 in Lake County – Widen shoulders near Cobb (ND). Resolution E-06-05 	2.2c.(1)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>			<u>Status*</u>
	• Route 99 in Butte County – Rehabilitate roadway near Biggs (ND). Resolution E-06-06	2.2c.(2)			A D
	• Route 28 in Placer County – Construct water quality treatment improvements and operational improvements near Tahoe City (ND). Resolution E-06-07	2.2c.(3)			A D
	• Route 41 in Fresno County – Widen roadway near Easton (ND). Resolution E-06-08	2.2c.(4)			A D
	• Route 395 in Inyo County – Rehabilitate roadway near Little Lake (ND). Resolution E-06-09	2.2c.(5)			A D
	• Route 5 in San Joaquin County – Construct lane extension near Lathrop (ND). Resolution E-06-10	2.2c.(6)			A D
	• Route 70 in Butte County – Upgrade from expressway to freeway and construct interchange near Oroville (FEIR). Resolution E-06-11	2.2c.(7)			A D
	• Route 101 in Monterey County – Construct operational and safety improvements near Prunedale (FEIR). Resolution E-06-12	2.2c.(8)			A D
	<u>Highway Route Matters</u>	2.3			
	• Route Adoptions – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.3a.			
	• New Public Road Connections- <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.3b.			
	• Two Relinquishment Resolutions	2.3c.			A D
	-- 04-Son-101-PM 15.4 Route 101 in the County of Sonoma Resolution R-3634				
	-- 04-Son-101-PM 53.9/56.2 Route 101 in the County of Sonoma Resolution R-3635				
	• Vacation Resolutions – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.3d.			

	Item Description	Ref. #		Status*
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	• Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4a.		
	• 38 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19326 through C-19363	2.4b.		A D
	• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4c.		
	• Director’s Deeds	2.4d.		
	-- Items #1 through #18 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$13,376,267 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(1)		A D
	-- Items #1 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$1,100,000 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(2)		A D
	• Rescinding Resolution Union Pacific Railroad Company, et al. 04-Ala-880-PM 27.7 CR-137, Rescinding Resolution C-19210	2.4e.		A D
	<u>Highway Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 72,111,000 Total SHOPP/Minor Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 27,450,000 Total STIP Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 4,568,000 Total Supplemental Funds Needed			
	\$ 104,129,000 Total Project Funds Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 4,237,973 Contributions from Other Sources			
	\$ 35,738,000 Delegated Allocations			
	\$ 144,104,973 Grand Total			
	• Financial Allocation for Eight Minor Projects totaling \$3,842,000. Resolution FP-05-68	2.5a.		A D
	<u>Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects</u>	2.5b.		
	• Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5b.(1)		
	• Financial Allocation for 12 SHOPP Projects totaling \$33,121,000. Resolution FP-05-___	2.5b.(1.1)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for 12 SHOPP Projects totaling \$9,048,000 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-05-69 	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5b.(3)		A D
	<u>Financial Allocations for STIP Projects</u>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$6,161,000. Resolution FP-05-70 	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5c.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Nine Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$3,290,000, plus \$2,238,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-71 	2.5c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Eight Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$8,656,000, plus \$70,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-____ 	2.5c.(3.1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$4,252,000, plus \$226,243 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-72 	2.5c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Eight Locally-Administered Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$3,689,000, plus \$1,703,730 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-73 	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$995,000. Resolution FP-05-____ 	2.5c.(5.1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring Projects totaling \$49,000. Resolution FP-05-74 	2.5c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Project on the State Highway System for \$358,000. Resolution FP-05-75 	2.5c.(7)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Financial Allocations for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount</u>	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for STIP Projects that exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5d.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects that exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount. One project for \$26,100,000. Resolution FP-05-76 	2.5d.(2)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 03-Nev-20 – SHOPP project in Nevada County. Current programmed value of \$19,420,000 and current current estimate of \$26,100,000, for an increase of 34% over the programmed amount. 			
	<u>Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds</u>	2.5e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One STIP project for \$668,000 to Settle Construction Claims and Close Out Contract. Resolution FA-05-14 	2.5e.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 05-Mon-101 – STIP project in Monterey County. Current allocation is \$8,560,000. This request for \$668,000 to settle construction claims and close out contract results in an increase of 9% over the current allocation. 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One STIP project for \$3,900,000 for Construction Claims. Resolution FA-05-15 	2.5e.(2)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-Riv-215/60/91 – STIP (GARVEE) project in Riverside County. Current allocation is \$163,850,000. This request for \$3,900,000 for construction claims results in an increase of 2% over the current allocation. 			
	<u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. 36 Projects totaling \$37,320,000. 	2.5f.(1)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5f.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5f.(3)	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 Allocations. 22 Projects totaling \$11,592,000. 	2.5f.(4)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects: G-05-04 Allocations. Two Projects totaling \$18,307,000. 	2.5f.(5)		I D
	<u>Mass Transportation Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 109,830,000	Total TCRP Requested for Allocation		
	\$ 1,600,000	Total STIP Requested for Allocation		
	\$ _____ 0	Total Proposition 116 Requested for Allocation		
	\$ 111,430,000	Total State Funds Requested for Allocation		
	<u>Financial Allocations for STIP Projects</u>			
		2.6a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Two Local Rail/Transit STIP Project totaling \$1,600,000. Resolution MFP-05-12 	2.6a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for State Administered Rail/Transit STIP Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6a.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocations for Proposition 116 Program Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation Amendment for Proposition 116 Program Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6d.		
	<u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments</u>			
		2.6e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Re-Allocation totaling \$12,456,000 in lapsing TCRP funds for Six TCRP Projects. Resolution TFP-06-08 	2.6e.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for 11 TCRP Projects totaling \$99,089,000 in new TCRP funding. Resolution TFP-06-09 	2.6e.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation Amendment for Three TCRP Projects, no net change in funding. Resolution TFP-06-10 	2.6e.(3)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment to de-allocate a net total of \$1,715,000 in TCRP funds for Two TCRP Projects. Resolution TFP-06-11 	2.6e.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation Amendment to de-allocate \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding from Project #32.8 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan obligations (Q-fund). <i>(Related Item under 2.1c.(13).)</i> Resolution TFP-06-05 	2.6e.(5)		A C
	<u>Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month</u>	2.7		
	<u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u>	2.8		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 13 Locally-Administered STIP projects totaling \$31,436,000. Waiver-06-12 	2.8a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One local STIP project for the City of Vallejo for \$425,000. Waiver-06-13 	2.8a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Intercity Rail STIP project on the Pacific Surfliner Route for \$1,000,000. Waiver-06-14 	2.8a.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Five Locally-Administered STIP projects totaling \$3,419,868. Waiver-06-15 	2.8b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Ten SHOPP Projects totaling \$195,407,000 and Two STIP projects totaling \$12,882,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria. Waiver-06-16 	2.8b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Three TCRP Projects totaling \$75,879,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria. Wavier 06-17 	2.8b.(3)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One local STIP project for the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority for \$237,000. Waiver-06-18 	2.8c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.8e.		
	<u>Technical Corrections to Previously Approved Resolutions</u>	2.9		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical Change to Resolution FP-05-65, originally approved March 16, 2006, in the amount of \$2,743,000 for 14 locally-administered STIP Transportation Enhancement projects. A technical correction is needed for Project #1 – Humboldt County Association of Governments (PPNO 0302D) and Project #3 – El Dorado Local Transportation Commission (PPNO 3120D) to correct the implementing agencies/allocation recipients. 	2.9a.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-56, originally approved May 26, 2005, in the amount of \$14,259,000 for 36 locally-administered STIP Transportation Enhancement projects. A technical correction is needed for Project #27 – Los Angeles County (PPNO 3402) to correct the project description. 	2.9b.		A D
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report 	3.1		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded 	3.2		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic Relief Program projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria 	3.3		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria 	3.3a.		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	-- Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.3b.	I	D
	-- Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic Congestion Relief Program Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.3c.	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.4	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded	3.5	I	D
	• Report on Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Activity	3.6	I	C
	• Update on 2005-06 Winter Storm Damage to State Highway Facilities	3.7	I	D
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.		
	• State and Federal Legislative Matters	4.1	A	C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director John Barna at (916) 654-4245.			
	• STIP/SHOPP Pending Allocation List 25 SHOPP Projects totaling \$85,611,000; 107 STIP Projects totaling \$45,488,000.	4.2a.	A	D
	• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations 14 Projects totaling \$2,525,200.	4.2b.	A	D
	<u>2006 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) – TIMED ITEMS</u>	4.3		
	• Presentation of the 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Staff Recommendations <u>TIMED ITEM: Wednesday, April 26, 2006 at 2:15 p.m.</u>	4.3a.	I	C
	• Adoption of the 2006 STIP Resolution G-06-____ <u>TIMED ITEM: Thursday, April 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.</u>	4.3b.	A	C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Adoption of Revised TCRP Guidelines Resolution G-06-___, Replacing Resolutions G-00-23, G-03-12, and G-03-14.	4.4		A D
	• Tolling Technologies	4.5		I D
	• Annual Review of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airport Program (CAAP)	4.6		I D
	• Adoption of 2006 Aeronautics Program	4.7		A D
	• Caltrans' Five Year Capital Plan	4.8		I D
	<u>MODAL ISSUES</u>	5.		
	<u>Rail</u>	5.1		
	• Burlington Northern Santa Fe Rail Siding Project	5.1		I R
	<u>Highways</u>	5.2		
	• Report on Route 99 Business Plan	5.2		I D/R
	<u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	6.		